

<u>Scrutiny Topic Selection Matrix</u>	1. Represents a key issue for local people.	2. The issue is strategic and significant.	3. The topic falls within a community or corporate priority area.	4. Scrutiny of the issue will lead to effective outcome.	5. Had the topic been covered elsewhere? <i>(Yes = low score No = high score)</i>	6. Represents an issue of concern to stakeholders and partners.	7. The issue is of community concern or there is a high level of dissatisfaction with one or more services.	8. The scrutiny activity is timely.	Score	Rank	Review Type
Implementation of Planning IT System	5	4	5	4	5	5	5	4	37	1	TG

Each of the topics are scored for degree of 'fit', e.g. 1 (low) to 5 (high). The reviews with the best fit achieve the highest score.

1. Represents a key issue for local people.
2. The issue is strategic and significant.
3. The topic falls within a community or corporate priority area.
4. Scrutiny of the issue will lead to effective outcomes.
5. Has the topic been covered elsewhere in other services? (Yes = low score No = high score)
6. Represents an issue of concern to stakeholders and partners
7. The issue is of community concern or there is a high level dissatisfaction with one or more services.
8. The scrutiny activity is timely.

Review Type:

Each topic has an indicated review type: Task Group (TG), One-off report or Presentation (R), Member Briefing (B), Other (O)

Score	What the score means
31 to 40	The issue/item has a high likelihood of entering the Scrutiny Work Programme but should be prioritised according to score
20 to 30	Item/issue has less chance of gaining a place on the Scrutiny Work Programme and should be held in abeyance
Under 20	Item/issue should not normally gain a place on the Scrutiny Work Programme